UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,439	08/14/2003	John H. Brophy	02-024	2458
34833 FRANK ROSE	7590 12/05/200 NBERG	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2923		MCDONOUGH, JAMES E		
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94129-0230		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/642,439	BROPHY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Air Oille	

	JAMES E. MCDONOUGH	1793					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 November 2008</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [·	•	-				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	rided below or appended.						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5.7-9.11.24.28.32.34-43 and 45-53. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet. 	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that their declaration shows unexpected results and that a combination of references need not be compared. While this may be true the declaration is not persuasive in showing unexpected results as the results are not fully commensurate in scope and two examples can not be used to show unexpected results over the whole claimed invention, which is very broad. Applicants argue that the reference of Hoveyda is not prior art because it was filed 5/12/2003 and the instant application claims priority to 8/15/2002 and that they have argued this previously. This is not persuasive. First it is noted that applicants have argued that Hoveyda does not teach a chiral auxiliary and is therefore not prior art, but applicants have not previously argued that Hoveyda is not prior art because of filing priority and second Hoveyda claims priority back to 5/15/2002, which is before the earliest priority date of the instant invention. Applicants submit a definition of a chiral auxiliary from wikipedia, however, it is noted that definitions from wikipedia are not accepted as valid. With regards to the limitation of a chiral auxiliary it is noted that only claim 28 requires this, and further it is not understood how the microchannel can comprise a chiral auxiliary, that will have an effect since based on the understanding of chiral auxiliary it must be attached to the substrate then removed and recovered, but it is not clear how this would happen in the microchannel under flow conditions.